arXiv:1704.04410v1 [astro-ph.SR] 14 Apr 2017

Baltic Astronomy, vol. ??, ???–???, 2016

RADIAL VELOCITY DISPERSIONS OF STAR GROUPS IN M 67

S.V. Vereshchagin[1] and N.V. Chupina[1]

[1] *Institute of Astronomy of the Russian Academy of Sciences, 48 Pyatnitskaya st., Moscow, Russia; svvs@ya.ru*

Received: 2016 July; accepted: 2016 July

Abstract. The high-precision measurements of the radial velocities (V_r), which were obtained for the member stars of M 67, are used to calculate the Vr dispersions in earlier found stellar groups in the cluster corona. The previously detected feature for stars in one of the groups (gr. 60) consisting of the almost full identity of spatial velocities was confirmed. The possibility of more accurate groups parameters studies in future with the help of the GAIA catalogues is discussed.

Key words: Galaxy: solar neighborhood – open clusters and associations – moving groups: individual: M 67

1. INTRODUCTION

Star group due to the small number of members do not show any significant concentration of stars in the sky field plane. To find them special algorithm is developed, which is based on analyzing the stellar density distributions to recognize groups of stars. Also, one can search areas with higher concentration of stars using observations in X-ray, H_α and the other photometric bands, which will be very effective. It allows to look inside and behind the molecular gas clouds which hide the stars. Thus, the observed star distribution is deceptive and becomes more evident with the help of suitable filters and special methods. Our contribution to this direction of research is to detect groups in the corona of open star cluster (OC) M 67 (Chupina & Vereshchagin 1998) and group 189 near NGC 1977 cluster (Chupina & Vereshchagin 2000). It should be noted that one of the first papers on the topic was published almost forty years ago by Latyshev (1977). The problems connected with the groups detection is discussed by Mamajek et al. (2015).

To confirm the reality of existence of the stellar groups, a number of additional checks should be conducted, including verification on the random fluctuations of stellar density. Also, the differences between parameters of the stars, for example, proper motions and radial velocities, should be estimated with statistical significance. More accurate observation increases the reliability of such tests.

2. THE SPECTRUM OF VELOCITY FLUCTUATIONS

M67 is the nearest to us from the old OC (7.0±0.5 Gyr, Jiaxin e.a. 2015). The cluster is high in the disc ($Z = 480$ pc), moving on an orbit with a large inclination



Fig. 1. The distribution of the M 67 region stars on the celestial sphere. The dots show the stars from Frolov & Ananyevskaya (1986). Stars indicate the stars of the groups with radial velocities found in the catalogue by Geller et al. (2015). The group numbers are also shown.

to the disk plane, Wu e.a. (2009). These indicate that the dynamic interactions with molecular gas clouds of the disk is not occur and there is no dissipation of stars from cluster owing to external interactions.

Chupina & Vereshchagin (1998), used data from Frolov & Ananyevskaya (1986) have detected five stellar groups in the corona of M 67. The groups are located in the low density area. They include from 5 to 11 stars and have average sizes from 0.5 to 1.2 pc. By analysis of proper motions, it has been proven that the groups are not random fluctuations of density.

Geller et al. (2015) measured the radial velocities for 13776 stars, of which 1278 are candidate members of M 67. An average error of V_r is less than 1 km/s, instead of the prevalent value of 3 km/s. The catalogue has the area size of $2.5^\circ \times 1.5^\circ$, which is comparable with Frolov & Ananyevskaya (1986). Using high-precision data, we rechecked the existence of the groups in M67 by radial velocities. Note that Vereshchagin et al. (2014) considered only the central part of the cluster and thus obtained different results. Figure 1 shows the distribution of stars on the celestial sphere from the catalogue given by Frolov & Ananyevskaya (1986) and stars of the groups, for which are measured V_r in Geller et al. (2015).

3. THE DISPERSION OF RADIAL VELOCITIES

Geller et al. (2015) list the M 67 membership probabilities given by various authors. First, we selected stars, for which at least one author have non-zero membership probability (P), then we have taken stars with $P \geq 60\%$ by Geller e.a. (2015). Our sample consisted of 627 stars. According to the sample, the average value of the radial velocity is $\langle V_r \rangle = 33.58 \pm 0.86$ km/s. The same we

Table 1. The star groups parameters.

The group/ star number	V, mag	V_r, km/s	ε_{V_r}, km/s	$\langle V_r \rangle$, km/s	σ_{V_r}, km/s	$\langle l \rangle$, *mm*	$\langle l \rangle$ pc
gr. 32				35.9	5.1	12.47	3.01
10055	13.52	32.60	0.20				
7051	13.34	35.00	0.21				
4046	13.35	6.78	0.31				
4035	12.86	33.66	0.14				
13035	9.98	45.00	0.10				
11030	14.18	33.35	0.30				
gr. 33						7.66	1.85
5066	12.54	35.00	0.06				
gr. 44						6.79	1.64
5048	13.55	33.62	0.46				
gr. 49				41.0	15.0	12.47	3.01
6070	14.36	34.88	0.24				
4063	12.96	33.60	0.13				
1058	11.34	49.47	0.13				
3059	10.87	27.44	0.13				
3060	13.01	33.14	0.15				
1077	10.02	67.65	0.15				
gr. 60				33.0	0.5	12.47	3.01
4034	13.71	33.38	0.16				
12038	14.44	32.54	0.30				
5041	13.41	33.10	0.24				
5039	13.64	33.60	0.14				
5043	13.50	32.88	0.19				
2046	12.59	32.26	0.26				
8052	13.67	33.39	0.29				

have defined for the groups shown in Figure 1. The results are in Table 1. Using Fig.1 from Chupina & Vereshchagin (1998) we calculated the average sizes $\langle l \rangle$ of groups, which are also in Table 1. The first column of Table 1 shows the group number according to Chupina & Vereshchagin (1998) or star number according to Geller et al. (2015). The following columns exhibit the V-magnitude (V), radial velocity (V_r) and its error (ε_{V_r}) from Geller et al. (2015), the calculated average value $\langle V_r \rangle$ and mean quadratic deviation σ_{V_r} for the groups. Columns (7) and (8) have the mean sizes of the groups in *mm* and in pc. Their values are in the range from 1.85 to 3.01 pc. Since the groups outlines are irregular in shape, we took the average value between its largest and smallest diameters. In the group 32, star with number 4046 by Geller et al. (2015) is discarded. For groups 33 and 44, we found only one star with measured V_r and the σ_{V_r} is not defined.

4. ON STAR GROUPS PHYSICS

To estimate the velocity dispersion we apply the formula:

$$\sigma_V = \sqrt{\frac{\gamma \cdot M_{cluster}}{R_{cluster}}},$$

where γ – gravitational constant. The parameters for the statistically stationary cluster with parameters similar to M 67 are $M_{cluster} = 1000 M_\odot$ (the 1000 star

of the same mass equal to 1 Solar mass), $R_{cluster}$ = 100 pc. For M 67, σ_{V_r} = 0.14 km/s. For groups containing about 10 stars in a region with radius of 1.5 pc, get almost the same value $\sigma_{V_r} = 0.12$ km/s. Thus, for the stability of a cluster as a whole and for internal star groups in cluster corona too, it is required to have the dispersion value approximately equal to 0.12 – 0.14 km/s. The observed dispersion given in Table 1 is significantly superior to this theoretical value interval, even for the group 60, which has the minimum value of 0.5 km/s. Perhaps this is due to the groups not being in the steady state.

There are several approaches, which explain this problem. In the star systems with a small velocity dispersion the mutual gravity can generate paired and multiple correlation of the position and velocities of stars. Modern calculations show different instabilities inside the OC and the appearance of substructures. Thus, according to the N-body calculations for model of spherical star systems (Polyachenko 2015) the cluster may become non-uniform with regions of high density, in late stage of its evolution, when the age of the cluster exceeds the relaxation time.

The calculations of the open cluster dynamics show the formation of the star groups or streams with the similar space trajectories and dynamic characteristics (Danilov 2002, 2005; and Danilov & Leskov 2005). Because the formation of stellar groups with the similar orbit oscillation on the periphery of the cluster (Danilov 2005, 2006) can be the force field fluctuations. To understand the influence of instability on the dynamics and kinematics of the star groups inside the OC, one can analyse the phase density fluctuations and their spectra. This method gives an indication of the formation of the density waves and groups of stars with different kinematic and dynamic characteristics (Danilov & Putkov 2013, 2014; Danilov 2015).

5. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

5.1. Gr. 60 (see Table 1) stands apart from others, it has very small dispersion equal to 0.5 km/s. This value is close to the physical limit of radial velocities precision for the individual stars. This limit is associated with the gas motions in the stellar atmospheres (granulation, etc.). A similar result for the tangential velocities of this group was obtained by Chupina & Vereshchagin (1998). Also this group has a small number of giants and supergiants in comparison with the cluster as a whole.

It can provide information about the time of its formation by analyze of the CMD-diagram. This result suggests that the stars of gr.60 are physical related. Is gr.60 a group of dynamic nature or hierarchical system? On the similarities and differences between our groups and hierarchical systems we give the following argument. The average distance between Mizar and Alcor is about 0.64 pc, this is similar to the distance in the groups. The Mizar-Alcor hierarchical system has two main components – stars located at a large distance, and the other stars in their vicinity. In the groups all stars are in average equidistantly. But, question of all hierarchical systems are similar to Mizar-Alcor, or they may consist of the equidistant stars is still unanswered. It can be clarify by the dynamic calculation and analysis of the structure of the known systems. It is useful to take into account the peculiarities of V_r dispersion in hierarchical systems.

5.2. The estimation of significance level. We accept the null hypothesis: dispersion for the gr. 60 (0.5 km/s) and for the cluster as a whole (0.86 km/s) are

Table 2. The sparsely populated clusters from MWSC II.

α	δ	MWSC	name	Nr_0	Nr_1	Nr_2	r_0	r_1	r_2	r_2,	dist,	log(t)
degree		num						degree		pc	pc	
084.4755	-06.9560	0607	KMS_35	5	6	7	0.018	0.060	0.095	1.4	636	6.600
084.6825	-02.6000	0612	Sigma_Ori	2	6	9	0.030	0.280	0.400	5.8	390	6.100
086.6775	+00.1000	0664	NGC_2068	0	5	9	0.020	0.075	0.140	2.0	747	6.450
093.5100	-09.3750	0807	FSR_1120	3	7	9	0.020	0.075	0.140	2.0	830	7.300
110.9835	-25.3590	1205	FSR_1293	2	8	10	0.010	0.037	0.080	1.2	962	9.005
269.9325	-28.2070	2769	Trumpler_31	2	6	12	0.018	0.090	0.165	2.4	830	8.635
308.4585	+40.1150	3367	Kronberger_59	3	8	12	0.010	0.045	0.075	1.1	844	8.000
110.6550	-05.1150	1194	FSR_1157	2	8	14	0.010	0.045	0.090	1.3	926	7.700
260.1705	-35.8830	2575	vdBergh-Hagen_223	3	9	15	0.015	0.045	0.070	1.0	895	8.450
086.7915	+00.3000	0665	NGC_2071	2	8	16	0.020	0.090	0.140	2.0	953	6.550
268.1250	-30.1050	2727	Basel_5	1	6	16	0.020	0.120	0.200	2.9	995	8.850
264.4725	-08.0850	2650	Mamajek_2	3	8	17	0.060	0.190	0.310	4.5	174	8.100
139.2000	-47.9450	1645	DBSB_36	1	10	18	0.015	0.070	0.130	1.9	748	7.500
328.3725	+47.2650	3571	IC_5146	1	5	18	0.020	0.080	0.165	2.4	770	6.000
130.4700	-79.0450	1535	Mamajek_1	1	5	19	0.030	0.190	0.420	6.1	112	6.990

equal. On the criterion of a Fischer-Snedecor $F = 0.86/0.5 = 1.72$. Degrees of freedom: $k_1 = 627 - 1 = 626$ (whole cluster), $k_2 = 7 - 1 = 6$ (gr. 60). We choose a significance level equal $\alpha = 0.05$. The critical value is $F_{cr}(626; 6; 0.05) = 2.1$. Thus, $F < F_{cr}$ and the null hypothesis is not rejected. This means that the radial velocity dispersion of the group and one of the whole cluster are not formally differ. Group 60 statistically insignificantly different from the cluster as a whole. However, looking at Table 1, we see that the V_r dispersions of the other groups (32 and 49) are significantly different from both 60 and from the entire cluster. Although it should be noted the effect of individual stars with V_r-value very remote from others. The reason for the latter is worth considering in the future.

5.3. The question about the difference between the groups and sparsely populated clusters exist. What are the minima OC dimensions? Table 2 shows the clusters with the smallest number of their member stars, which we have got from MWSC II given by Kharchenko et al. (2013). In Table 2, we include clusters located not far away (within 1 kpc) from the Sun. The Table 2 contains the equatorial coordinates on J2000, MWSC internal number and the cluster name, Nr_0, Nr_1, Nr_2 the numbers of stars inside the angular radius of the core (r_0), of the central part (r_1) and of the cluster (r_2). The distances from the Sun (dist) and log ages (log(t)) are also included. This distance is comparable with M 67. Their angular sizes (r_2, degree) were converted to linear radii (r_2, pc) using distances from the Sun (dist). From Table 2, we see that size of these clusters and the group sizes (l in Table 1) are in good agreement.

5.4. The proper motion accuracy in the GAIA project will be up to 20 microsecond per year ($\varepsilon_\mu = 20$ μas/yr) (de Bruijne et al. 2010). We converted it into the km/s for the distance from the Sun equal to 890 pc (as M 67) and got the tangential velocity $V_t = \frac{4.74\mu}{\pi} = 0.08$ km/s. This is a very small value. If, for comparison, take the error equals 1 mas/yr as Hipparcos catalog (ESA, 1997) proper motion, we obtain the greater value $V_t = 4.2$ km/s. How many M 67 members will be observed by GAIA? We compare stellar surface density of M 67 and GAIA. In GAIA, the number of stars vary for the data with known V_r, μ, π.



Fig. 2. The PM (in mas/yr) comparison for the common groups members stars of Frolov & Ananyevskaya (1986) and Nardiello et al. (2015) catalogues.

GAIA has 24240 star per square degree for stars with known μ and about 5000 stars per square degree for stars with known π. The density of stars in M 67 is much smaller and is approximately 318 stars per square degree. Thus, most likely in GAIA we will find not only the proper motions, but also the parallaxes for all stars in the M 67.

5.5. In the catalog Frolov & Ananyevskaya (1986), we found a high-velocity star in the corona. Its data: catalog number 1007, $\alpha = 133.70202°$, $\delta = +12.51210°$ (J2000), $V = 11.18$ mag, $\mu_x = -0.3085$, $\mu_y = -0.2211$, arcsec/yr. Although the probability of membership is only 3%, it is necessary to understand its nature carefully, because there are high-speed stars in M 67. In the catalogues by Nardiello et al.(2015) and Geller et al. (2015), this star is not available. We will continue to study it, considering the many interesting features of M 67. In particular, in the M 67 some stars with the exoplanets are detected, Brucalassi et al. (2014).

5.6. The refined proper motions by Nardiello et al. (2015) have not yet been used by us. They obtained proper motions only for the central part of the M 67 ($34' \times 33'$) down to $V \approx 22$ mag. The region studied by us covered $2° \times 2°$. Small area led to a small number of matched stars in our sample. With the catalog by Frolov & Ananyevskaya (1986) identifies 218 stars, of which only 14 stars belongs to the groups. We matched the Frolov & Ananyevskaya (1986) and Nardiello et al. (2015) catalogues in Figure 2. The points scatter in Figure 2 show proper motion disagreement (approximately equal to 3σ) amounted to 10 mas. These comparison showed the need for revision of our old results with the help of Nardiello et al. (2015) catalogue.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. The authors are thankful to Danilov V.M., D. Nardiello, A.V. Loctin and Devesh P. Sariya for their help. This work is partly supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (RFBR, grant number is 16-52-12027). The use of the Simbad database is acknowledged.

REFERENCES

Brucalassi A., Pasquini L., Saglia R., Ruiz M.T., Bonifacio P., Bedin L.R., Biazzo K., Melo C., Lovis C., Randich S. 2014, A&A, 561, 9

de Bruijne J., Kohley R., Prusti T. 2010, Space Telescopes and Instrumentation 2010: Optical, Infrared, and Millimeter Wave. Eds. Oschmann J.M., Clampin M.C., MacEwen H.A. Proceedings of the SPIE, V.7731, article id. 77311C, p.15

Chupina N.V., Vereshchagin S.V. 1998, A&A, 334, 552

Chupina N.V., Vereshchagin S.V. 2000, In Star formation from the small to the large scale. ESLAB symposium; Edited by F. Favata, A. Kaas, and A. Wilson; ESA SP 445; Noordwijk, The Netherlands: ESA, p.347

Danilov V.M. 2002, AZh, 79, 986

Danilov V.M. 2005, AZh, 82, 678

Danilov V.M., Leskov E.V. 2005, AZh, 82, 219

Danilov V.M. 2006, AZh, 83, 393

Danilov V.M., Putkov S.I. 2015, Astrophys. Bulletin, 70, 71

Danilov V.M., Putkov S.I. 2013, Astrophys. Bulletin, 68, 154

Danilov V.M., Putkov S.I. 2014, Astrophys. Bulletin, 69, 27

ESA 1997, The Hipparcos and Tycho Catalogues, ESA SP-1200

Frolov V.N., Ananyevskaya J.K. 1986, Photometry and proper motions in the open cluster M67 (NGC 2682), Cent. Don. Stell., Strasbourg, Catalogue number 5052

Global Astrometric Interferometer for Astrophysics (GAIA), 2016 http://www.cosmos.esa.int/web/gaia/

Geller A. M., Latham D.W., and Mathieu R.D. 2015, AJ, 150, 97

Kharchenko N.V., Piskunov A.E., Schilnach E., Roeser S., Scholz R. 2013, A&A, 558, 53

Latyshev I.N. 1977, Astron. Circ., No. 969, 7

Mamajek E.E. 2015, In Young Stars and Planets, Proc. IAU Symposium No. 314, J.H. Kastner, B. Stelzer and S.A. Metchev, eds.

Nardiello D., Libralato M., Bedin L.R., Piotto G., Ochner P., Cunial A., Borsato L., Granata V. 2016, MNRAS, 455, 3, 2337

Polyachenko E.V. 2015, private message

Vereshchagin S.V., Chupina N.V., Sariya Devesh P., Yadav R.K.S., Brijesh Kumar 2014, New Astronomy, 31, 43

Wang J.-X., Ma J., Wu Z.-Y., Wang S., and Zhou X. 2015, AJ, 150, 61

Wu Z., Zhou X., Ma J. and Du C. 2009, MNRAS, 399, 2146